

Fano interference effect on the transition spectrum of single electron transistors

David M.-T. Kuo

Department of Electrical Engineering, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, 320, Republic of China
(February 2, 2008)

We theoretically study the intraband transition spectrum of single electron transistors (SETs) composed of individual self-assembled quantum dots. The polarization of SETs is obtained by using the nonequilibrium Green's function technique and the Anderson model with three energy levels. Owing to nonradiative coupling between two excited states through the continuum of electrodes, the Fano interference effect significantly influences the peak position and intensity of infrared wavelength single-photon spectrum.

I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum dot (QD) system has many potential applications in electronic devices, including quantum dot lasers¹ and infrared detectors². Recently, the spontaneous emission spectrum of QDs embedded in a semiconductor p-n junction has been proposed as a generator of single photons, which is important in the application of quantum cryptography³. The antibunching feature of a single photon source was demonstrated by optical and electrical pumping, respectively⁴⁻⁵. Thus far, the implementation of a single photon source has used short wavelengths (near $1.3\mu\text{m}$). For such a short wavelength photon can be generated by electron-hole recombination in the excitations including exciton, negative trion, positive trion and biexciton states⁶.

In some applications of quantum communications, a long-wavelength single photon near a $10\mu\text{m}$ infrared wavelength is useful owing to its advantage of high transmission in the atmosphere. Note that a long wavelength infrared single photon passes through the atmosphere in the $3-5\mu\text{m}$ and $8-14\mu\text{m}$ bands⁷, the atmospheric transmission is near 0.98 in the infrared range from $9.7\mu\text{m}$ to $10.2\mu\text{m}$. To generate a long-wavelength single photon, we can employ electron intraband transitions. One way of realizing such photon source is to use single electron transistors (SETs), which consists of two leads and one QD. Owing to Coulomb blockade effect and Pauli principle, the SETs can be utilized not only as a single photon emitter, but also a single photon detector.

To date, many nanostructure materials such as silicon (Si) and germanium (Ge) QDs can be miniaturized by using advanced fabrication technology. Furthermore, recently, the Ge/Si SETs have been demonstrated at room temperature^{8,9}. Due to Si and Ge are multi-valley semiconductors, we propose to embed a single self-assembled InAs QD into GaAs slab (with width W) which is then placed in contact with electrodes to form n-i-n structure. In the

fabrication of optoelectronic devices such as single-photon generators and single-photon detectors, material for single valley semiconductors are preferred. Even though it is difficult to align a single dot with the source and drain electrodes, this difficulty may be solved by the selective formation method^{10,11}, which can improve position control and minimize the size fluctuation of self-assembly quantum dot (SAQD). To produce a single photon near a $10\mu\text{m}$ infrared wavelength, first we need to know the energy levels of a single InAs QD, which depend on the shape of QDs. Here the pyramid-shaped InAs QDs with the base length b and height h will be considered since this shape is commonly observed in many experiments.

Within the effective mass model⁶, the lowest three energy levels for electrons as a function of base length are shown in Fig. 1, where the ratio of $h/b = 1/4$ is considered. The first excited state E_p is a fourfold degenerate state including spin, whereas this degenerate state will be lifted as E_2 and E_3 if the x-y axis symmetry of QD is destroyed. Fano interference could be occurred due to coupling of these states to the same reservoir¹². The main purpose of this article is to theoretically investigate Fano interference effect on the transition spectrum of single photons near a $10\mu\text{m}$ (or 124 meV) infrared wavelength.

II. HAMILTONIAN OF SYSTEM

We start with the Anderson model with three energy levels to describe the studied system

$$H_{new} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha} + \sum_{\sigma,\ell=1,2,3} E_\ell d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha,\ell} (V_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\ell} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma} + V_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\ell}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}) \quad (1) + \sum_{\ell=2,3} (\lambda_{\ell,1} e^{i\omega t} d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger d_{1,\sigma} + \lambda_{\ell,1}^\dagger e^{-i\omega t} d_{1,\sigma}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma}),$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger$ and $d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger$ are the electron creation operators in the electrodes and QDs. The first term describes the left and right electrodes via index $\alpha = L, R$. The second term describes the electronic states of QDs. We consider the situation where the QD contains three bound levels ($\ell = 1, 2, 3$). The third and fourth terms describe the coupling between the QD states and the two electrodes. The last two terms describe the interaction of the QD electrons with electromagnetic field. Here $\lambda = -\mu_r \mathcal{E}$ is the

Rabi frequency, where $\mu_r = |\langle \ell | \mathbf{r} | 1 \rangle|$ is the matrix element for the intraband transition and $\mathcal{E} = (\omega/\epsilon_0 V)^{1/2}$ is electric field per photon. V and ϵ_0 , respectively, are the volume and static dielectric constant of the system. We have used the units such that $\hbar = c = 1$. This convention is used throughout this paper. We introduce a unitary transformation $S(t) = e^{i(\omega t/2)[d_{1,\sigma}^\dagger d_{1,\sigma} - d_{2,\sigma}^\dagger d_{2,\sigma} - d_{3,\sigma}^\dagger d_{3,\sigma}]}$ and define the transformed Hamiltonian by $H_{new} = S^\dagger(t)H(t)S(t) - S^\dagger(t)i\partial/\partial t S(t)$. The new Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_{new} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha} + \sum_{\sigma,\ell=1,2,3} \epsilon_\ell d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha,\ell} (V_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\ell}(t) c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma} + V_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\ell}^\dagger(t) d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}) \quad (2) + \sum_{\ell=2,3} (\lambda_{\ell,1} d_{\ell,\sigma}^\dagger d_{1,\sigma} + \lambda_{\ell,1}^\dagger d_{1,\sigma}^\dagger d_{\ell,\sigma}),$$

where the renormalized energy levels of QD are $\epsilon_1 = E_1 + \omega/2$ and $\epsilon_{\ell=2,3} = E_\ell - \omega/2$. We see that the time-dependent phase in the inter-level Hamiltonian vanishes. However, the hopping terms are time dependent, $V_{\mathbf{k},1}(t) = V_{\mathbf{k},1} \exp^{i\omega/2t}$ and $V_{\mathbf{k},j=2,3}(t) = V_{\mathbf{k},j} \exp^{-i\omega/2t}$, in which the energy and time dependence of the coupling are factorized. This factorization leads to time-independent tunneling rates. Even though the effect of electron correlation is significant in small semiconductor QDs, we can ignore the particle Coulomb interaction if the applied voltage is not sufficient to overcome the charging energies of QDs⁶. Such condition is required throughout this article. According to Eq. (2), two excited states are indirectly coupled via the continuum of electrodes. This coupling can be enhanced by including electron-phonon interaction. In this study we phenomenologically include electron-phonon interaction via the complex self-energy of $\kappa_{2,3} = \Delta_d + i\sqrt{\Gamma_{d2}\Gamma_{d3}}$, which was considered to study double quantum wells in Ref. [13]. Γ_{d2} and Γ_{d3} denote the decay rates of E_2 and E_3 . Δ_d is the coupling strength between E_2 and E_3 . Note that although the electron-phonon scattering rate can be small or vanishing in QDs due to the phonon bottleneck effect (inability to satisfy the energy conservation between discrete QD levels), it can still give rise to significant contribution to the phonon-assisted tunneling, since the energy conservation can be met by electron jumping between the QD and the leads.

The electrically driven transition spectrum of individual SETs can be calculated by using the Keldysh-Green's function method^{14,15}. The lesser Green's function $G_{1,2}^{+,-}(t_1, t_2) = -i \langle d_{2,\sigma}^\dagger(t_2) d_{1,\sigma}(t_1) \rangle$, which describes the correlation of electron in the energy level ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 at time t_1 and t_2 , is introduced to calculate the polarization. Using the method of equation of motion, the stationary solution is given by

$$(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 + i(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2))G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = -i\lambda_{1,2}[G_{1,1}^{+,-}(\epsilon) - G_{2,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)] + \Sigma_1^< G_{1,2}^a(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2}) \quad (3)$$

$$- \Sigma_2^< G_{1,2}^r(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) - \kappa_{2,3} G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$$

In quasi-equilibrium the intra-level lesser self energies of Eq.(3) are $\Sigma_i^< = \Gamma_i(f_L(\epsilon) + f_R(\epsilon))$, where $f_L(\epsilon)$ and $f_R(\epsilon)$ are the Fermi distribution function of the left and right electrodes. The chemical potential difference between these two leads is related to the applied bias $\mu_L - \mu_R = 2 eV_a$. $\Gamma_{\ell,\alpha=L,R} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |V_{\mathbf{k},\ell,\alpha}|^2 \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})$ denote the tunneling rates from the QD to the left and right electrodes, respectively. For simplicity, we consider $\Gamma_{i,L} = \Gamma_{i,R} = \Gamma_i$. It is very difficult to fully include the tunneling rate as a function of energy and momentum, and we assume that these tunneling rates are energy and bias independent even though $\Gamma_1(\Gamma_2)$ can be calculated with a reliable method¹⁶.

The lesser Green's functions $G_{1,1}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ and $G_{2,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ of Eq. (3) denote the electron occupation numbers, which are determined by the spectrum functions $G_{1,1}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = f_1^<(\epsilon)A_1(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})$ and $G_{2,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = f_2^<(\epsilon)A_2(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})$. The quasi-equilibrium distribution function of QD is expressed by $f_i^<(\epsilon) = (\Gamma_{iL}f_L(\epsilon) + \Gamma_{iR}f_R(\epsilon))/(\Gamma_{iL} + \Gamma_{iR})$. Owing to the weak electron-photon coupling, we make the approximations of the spectrum functions $A_{1(2)}(\epsilon) \approx \pm 2ImG_{1(2),0}^{r(a)}(\epsilon)$, which are the imaginary part of Green's functions, where the retarded and advanced Green functions are $G_{1,0}^{r(a)}(\epsilon) = 1/(\epsilon - \epsilon_1 \pm i\Gamma_1)$, and $G_{2,0}^{r(a)}(\epsilon) = 1/(\epsilon - \epsilon_2 \pm i\Gamma_2)$. Such approximation implies that the electron occupation numbers are mainly contributed from the tunneling process. In Eq.(3) the interlevel Green's function is given by $G_{1,2}^{a(r)}(\epsilon) = \lambda_{1,2}G_{1,0}^{a(r)}(\epsilon)G_{2,0}^{a(r)}(\epsilon)$. It is worthy noting that we ignored the term of $\lambda_{1,3}G_{3,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ in Eq. (3), where $G_{3,2}^{+,-} = \kappa_{2,3}[f_2^<(\epsilon)A_2(\epsilon)G_{3,0}^r(\epsilon) + f_3^<(\epsilon)A_3(\epsilon)G_{2,0}^a(\epsilon)]$, which can be readily proved as a negligible term.

Defining frequency detuning as $\Delta\omega_{12} = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 + i(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2) = 1/G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon) - 1/G_{2,0}^a(\epsilon)$ and using $2\Gamma_i = -i(\frac{1}{G_{i,0}^r(\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{G_{i,0}^a(\epsilon)})$, we rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = \lambda_{1,2}(f_2^<(\epsilon)A_{2,0}(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) + f_1^<(\epsilon)A_{1,0}(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})G_{2,0}^a(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})) - \frac{\kappa_{2,3}}{\Delta\omega_{12}}G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon), \quad (4) = P_1(\epsilon) - \frac{\kappa_{2,3}}{\Delta\omega_{12}}G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon).$$

In the absence of $\kappa_{2,3}$, $G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ describes the transition spectrum between the ground state E_1 and the first excited state E_2 , which is viewed as the first line shape. The self energy $\kappa_{2,3}$ leads $G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ to couple with Green's functions $G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$, which is expressed by

$$G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = \lambda_{1,3}(f_3^<(\epsilon)A_{3,0}(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2})G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) + f_1^<(\epsilon)A_{1,0}(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})G_{3,0}^a(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2})) - \frac{\kappa_{2,3}^*}{\Delta\omega_{13}}G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon) = P_2(\epsilon) - \frac{\kappa_{2,3}^*}{\Delta\omega_{13}}G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon), \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta\omega_{13} = \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_1 + i(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3) = 1/G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon) - 1/G_{3,0}^a(\epsilon)$. P_2 describes the second line shape. This second line shape will interfere with the first line shape due to $\kappa_{2,3}$. Solving Eqs.(4) and (5), we obtain the polarization consisted of two components $X_{12}(\omega) = \int d\epsilon/(2\pi)\lambda_{1,2}^*G_{1,2}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$ and $X_{13}(\omega) = \int d\epsilon/(2\pi)\lambda_{1,3}^*G_{1,3}^{+,-}(\epsilon)$:

$$X_{12}(\omega) = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\lambda_{1,2}^* \Delta\omega_{1,3} (-P_2(\epsilon)\kappa_{2,3} + P_1(\epsilon)\Delta\omega_{1,2})}{\Delta\omega_{1,3}\Delta\omega_{1,2} - |\kappa_{2,3}|^2}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$X_{13}(\omega) = \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} \frac{\lambda_{1,3}^* \Delta\omega_{1,2} (-P_1(\epsilon)\kappa_{2,3}^* + P_2(\epsilon)\Delta\omega_{1,3})}{\Delta\omega_{1,3}\Delta\omega_{1,2} - |\kappa_{2,3}|^2}. \quad (7)$$

Eqs. (6) and (7) are the central results of this article. Based on Eqs. (6) and (7), we will investigate the Fano interference effect arising from $\kappa_{2,3}$ on the absorption and emission spectrum, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To generate a single photon at the $10 \mu m$ wavelength, the QD with base length $b \approx 14 nm$ is sufficient to provide such photons ($\omega = E_2 - E_1 \approx 124 meV$). To simulate the system, we also choose the Fermi energy $E_F = 50 meV$ which is $30 meV$ below E_1 at zero bias. In addition, we assume $E_3 - E_2 = 6 meV$. Therefore, the levels of QD are empty at zero bias. When the chemical potential of left electrode μ_L (source) sweeps through the ground state and far away from the excited states (the energy level separation $\Delta E = E_2 - E_1 \gg k_B T$), Eqs. (6) and (7) can be rewritten as the following expression

$$X_a = \mathcal{P}_1(\omega) \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} f_1^<(\epsilon) G_{2,0}^a(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2}) A_{1,0}(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2}) \quad (8) \\ + \mathcal{P}_2(\omega) \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} f_1^<(\epsilon) G_{3,0}^a(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2}) A_{1,0}(\epsilon + \frac{\omega}{2}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_1 = (|\lambda_{1,2}|^2 \Delta\omega_{1,2} \Delta\omega_{1,3} - \lambda_{1,2} \lambda_{1,3}^* \kappa_{2,3} \Delta\omega_{1,2})/D$, $\mathcal{P}_2 = (|\lambda_{1,3}|^2 \Delta\omega_{1,2} \Delta\omega_{1,3} - \lambda_{2,1}^* \lambda_{1,3} \kappa_{2,3} \Delta\omega_{1,3})/D$ and $D = \Delta\omega_{1,3} \Delta\omega_{1,2} - |\kappa_{2,3}|^2$. Owing to very small tunneling rate of Γ_1 for deep energy level, the expression of Eq. (8) at zero temperature has a simple form

$$X_a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\lambda_{1,2}|^2 \Delta\omega_{1,3} + |\lambda_{1,3}|^2 \Delta\omega_{1,2} - 2\lambda_{1,3} \kappa_{2,3} \lambda_{2,1}}{\Delta\omega_{1,3} \Delta\omega_{1,2} - |\kappa_{2,3}|^2}. \quad (9)$$

The expression of Eq. (9) was also obtained for atomic systems to study electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) ^{17,18}. Fig. 2 shows the imaginary part of $X_a(\omega)$ for $\kappa_{2,3} = i meV$ and $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_3 = 1 meV$. The

solid line and dashed line denote, respectively, with and without $\kappa_{2,3}$. We see that the Fano interference effect arising from $\kappa_{2,3}$ significantly influences the absorption spectrum. It is destructive between two peaks, but constructive in the wings of the two absorption lines. This EIT effect can be used to efficiently modulate the light group velocity (or stop light) ^{12,19} as a result of very small broadening of energy levels for QDs. To date, this quantum interference has not been reported in isolated QD system, whereas it was reported in the quantum well system ²⁰. Although Eq. (8) can provide further information about the absorption spectrum for the different applied voltages and temperatures, we will discuss these effects on the emission spectrum.

When the left lead supplies electrons into the excited states, light emission process occurs. Emission spectrum intensity is given by

$$X_e(\omega) = \mathcal{P}_1(\omega) \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} f_2^<(\epsilon) A_{2,0}(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) \quad (10) \\ + \mathcal{P}_2(\omega) \int \frac{d\epsilon}{2\pi} f_3^<(\epsilon) A_{3,0}(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}) G_{1,0}^r(\epsilon - \frac{\omega}{2}).$$

We show the imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ for the applied voltage $V_a = 165 mV$ and temperature $k_B T = 1 meV$ in Fig. 3: the solid line and dashed line denote, respectively, $k_{2,3} = (-0.5 + i) meV$ and $\kappa_{2,3} = 0$. Comparing to absorption spectrum shown in Fig. 2, we see two asymmetric lines resulting from the real part of $\kappa_{2,3}$, which was ignored in the absorption spectrum. In addition to the destructive interference between two peaks, the constructive interference is also observed in the wings of these two peaks. This feature is the same as that of absorption spectrum. However, note that the intensity of L_1 is enhanced and slightly shifted from $\omega = 124 meV$. The intensity enhancement of L_1 implies that the number of single photons emitted from L_1 is enhanced. Therefore, we can take this advantage to the application of quantum cryptography.

It is significant to provide single-photon sources at room temperature for the development of quantum cryptography. Therefore, we attempt to understand temperature effect on the emission spectrum. We show the imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ for different temperatures at applied voltage $V_a = 165 mV$ in Fig. 4: solid line ($k_B T = 1 meV$), dashed line ($k_B T = 2 meV$), dotted line ($k_B T = 3 meV$) and dash-dotted line ($k_B T = 4 meV$). When the applied voltage is $V_a = 165 mV$, the left electrode supplies maximum electron number into two resonant levels E_2 and E_3 at zero temperature. Consequently, L_1 and L_2 display the strongest intensities at the temperature of $k_B T = 1 meV$. The peaks L_1 and L_2 decline in heights, as the temperature increases. Therefore, high temperature diminishes the efficiency of photon emission. Besides, thermionic emission not included in this study could also seriously destroy photon emission efficiency at room temperature since the energy levels E_2 and E_3 are not very deep (See

Fig. 1).

From experimental point of view, the behavior of emission spectrum versus applied voltage is also of interest. The imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ for different applied voltages at temperature $k_B T = 1 \text{ meV}$ is shown in Fig. 5: $V_a = 159 \text{ mV}$ (dash-dotted line), $V_a = 161 \text{ mV}$ (dotted line), $V_a = 163 \text{ mV}$ (dashed line), and $V_a = 165 \text{ mV}$ (solid line). When the applied voltage is $V_a = 159 \text{ mV}$, electrons are injected into the resonant energy level E_2 to emit photons with $\omega \approx 124 \text{ meV}$. In addition to L_1 line shape, we also observe the emission line of L_2 with frequency $\omega \approx 130 \text{ meV}$, which is mainly contributed from $\kappa_{2,3}$. When the applied voltage is $V_a = 161 \text{ mV}$, the intensity of L_2 is greatly enhanced as a result of that the left electrode provides electrons into the resonant energy level of E_3 . The intensity of L_2 approaches saturation at $V_a = 165 \text{ mV}$. From the results of Fig. 5, we have demonstrated SETs as single-photon sources and double-photon sources. The latter may be useful for the study of teleportation.²¹

IV. SUMMARY

In this study we have proposed to utilize the intraband transition of InAs/GaAs SETs to produce a single-photon source in the infrared wavelength range for the application of wireless quantum cryptography. The Anderson model with three energy levels is used to simulate the studied system. It is found that quantum interference effect leads two asymmetry line-shapes. It is destructive between two peaks, but constructive in the wings of two transition lines. The expression of Eqs. (5) and (6) can be readily extended to the case of double quantum dot system or quantum well system, where the bond and antibond states correspond, respectively, to E_2 and E_3 .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Science Council of Republic of China Contract No. NSC 94-2215-E-008-027.

- ⁵ Z. L. Yuan, B. E. Kardynal, R. M. Stevenson, A. J. Shield, C. J. Lobo, K. Cooper, N. S. Beattie, D. A. Ritchie, and M. Pepper, *Science* **295**, 102 (2002).
- ⁶ David. M. T. Kuo and Y. C. Chang, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 085334 (2005).
- ⁷ E. Tow and P. Pan, *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **6**, 408 (2000).
- ⁸ P. W. Li, W. M. Lia, D. M. T. Kuo, S. W. Lin, P. S. Chen, S. C. Lu and M. J. Tsai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85** (2004) 1532.
- ⁹ M. Saitoh, N. Takahashi, H. Ishikuro and T. Hiramoto, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **40**, 2010 (2001).
- ¹⁰ C. K. Hahn, Y. J. Park, E. K. Kim, S. K. Min, S. K. Jung and J. H. Park, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73**, 2479 (1998).
- ¹¹ C. K. Hahn, J. Motohisa and T. Fukui, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **76**, 3947 (2000).
- ¹² M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu and J. P. Marangos, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 636 (2005).
- ¹³ H. Schmidt and A. Imamoglu, *Opt. Commun.* **131**, 338 (1996).
- ¹⁴ L. V. Keldysh, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **47**, 1515 (1964)[*Sov. Phys. JETP* **20**, 1018 (1965)].
- ¹⁵ H. Haug and A. P. Jauho, *Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors* (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996).
- ¹⁶ David M. T. Kuo and Y. C. Chang, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 11051 (2000).
- ¹⁷ S. E. Harris, *Physics Today*, **50** 36 (1997).
- ¹⁸ G. Z. Zhang, K. Kakuta, and B. P. Stoicheff, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 3099 (1993).
- ¹⁹ O. Kocharovskaya, Y. Rostovtsev, and M. O. Scully, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 628 (2001).
- ²⁰ H. Schmidt, K. L. Campman, A. C. Gossard, and A. Imamoglu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **70**, 23 (1997).
- ²¹ C. Macchiavello, G. M. Palma and A. Zeilinger, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information Theory* (World, Scientific, Singapore, 1999).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: The lowest three energy levels of a quantum dot (QD) as functions of the QD size b . E_s and E_p denote, respectively, the ground state and the first excited state.

Fig. 2: Imaginary part of $X_a(\omega)$ as functions of detuning frequency.

Fig. 3: Imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ as functions of detuning frequency at applied voltage $V_a = 165 \text{ mV}$ and temperature $k_B T = 1 \text{ meV}$. Solid line ($\kappa_{2,3} = (-0.5 + i) \text{ meV}$) and dashed line ($\kappa_{2,3} = 0$).

Fig. 4: Imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ as functions of detuning frequency for different temperatures at applied voltage $V_a = 165 \text{ mV}$ and $\kappa_{2,3} = (-0.5 + i) \text{ meV}$.

Fig. 5: Imaginary part of $X_e(\omega)$ as functions of detuning frequency for different applied voltages at temperature $k_B T = 1 \text{ meV}$ and $\kappa_{2,3} = (-0.5 + i) \text{ meV}$.

¹ V. I. Klimov, A. A. Mikhailovsky, S. Xu, A. Malko, J. A. Hollingworth, C. A. Leatherdale, H. J. Eisler, and M. G. Bawend, *Science* **290**, 314 (2000).

² Y. C. Chang and David M. T. Kuo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **79**, 3851 (2001).

³ A. Imamoglu and Y. Yamamoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** 210 (1994).

⁴ P. Michler, A. Kiraz, C. Becher, W. V. Schoenfeld, P. M. Petroff, L. D. Zhang, E. Hu and A. Imamoglu, *Science* **290**, 2282 (2000).